

and never filed his merits brief, and was chewed out royally by this court in its last substantive order. This court was permitted – but not required – to accept my *Amicus Curiae* (aka: “Friend of the Court”) briefs, and, while this court did not break any laws in rejecting my *amicus* briefs in these appeals, above, it was an unwise use of judicial time, since *amici* are permitted to be a “friend” to the court –and aide its appellate jurisdiction when, as in this case, counsel for both sides overlook stuff and/or are negligent.

2. If any party is a corporation or association, identify any affiliate, subsidiary, or parent group: NO

3. Full name and complete address of appellant(s) filing this statement:

Gordon Wayne Watts, *pro se*
821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113
PH: (863) 688-9880 [home] or (863) 409-2109 [cell]
Web: <http://www.GordonWatts.com> / <http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com>
Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@gmail.com

4. Full name and complete address of appellee(s): (Use additional page for multiple appellees.)

See the attached Service List, which includes all known parties – and their attorneys.

Counsel on Appeal for appellee(s): (Use additional page for multiple appellees.)

See the attached Service List, which includes all known parties – and their attorneys.

5. Court reporting personnel: (If more space is needed, use other side.)

As I became a “party proper” to this case after its inception (and not merely a prospective *Amicus Curiae*, as I had initially sought), I do not rightly know, but I can tell you that I have heard a report from Mr. Daniggelis, who is my friend, has told me that Andjelko Galic, his attorney, has hired a court reporter and has purchased records. If you want to know about any court reporting personnel, you may ask the attorneys involved in this case and/or the Civil Appeals Division of the trial court below.

Approximate Duration of trial court proceedings to be transcribed: Unknown: See above

Can this appeal be accelerated? Yes—on motion of the court or any party. While not mandatory (e.g., as in domestic or child rearing issues), the victim of this mortgage fraud is elderly, I'm guessing about 79 or 80 years old, as I speak, and it would not serve the appellate

jurisdiction of this court were it to purposely let all the elderly victims die of old age before the merits of this case could be reached. Therefore, this court would have my gratitude if it could fast track the case, review it on the merits (based solely on what I filed, which should be enough to justify summary judgment), and then render summary judgment in favour of **Daniggelis**, giving him back his house & land, with costs assessed for pain & suffering ; damages to his house by **Joseph Younes** (as further described in *City of Chicago v. 1720 Sedgwick, Younes, et. al., 2017-M1-400775*, a Code Violation case in the Civil Division of Cook County, IL trial courts) ; monies lost due to having to find another place to live and/or store belongings ; award for attorneys fees to his attorney, **Andjelko Galic**, –and award for monetary losses to **Watts** and **More**, who suffered various losses as a result of financial distress inflicted upon **Daniggelis** – such ruling which would **moot Daniggelis'** otherwise valid 'non-suit' motion to the trial court, which precipitated this appeal to this court, **and with remand to the Circuit Court for further proceedings consistent with this order.**

6. Is this appeal from a final order in a matter involving child custody or allocation of parental responsibility pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 311(a) which requires Mandatory Accelerated Disposition of Child Custody or Allocation of Parental Responsibilities Appeals? NO

*If yes, this docketing statement, briefs and all other notices, motions and pleadings filed by any party shall include the following statement in bold type on the top of the front page: THIS APPEAL INVOLVES A MATTER SUBJECT TO EXPEDITED DISPOSITION UNDER RULE 311(a).

7.(A) State the supreme court rule, or the law, which confers jurisdiction upon the reviewing court;

Ill.Sup.Ct. Rule 301 and 303 confer jurisdiction as of right for this appeal. While I was previously a prospective *amicus*, when previously filing in this court, I asserted intervention in the Law Division case, and, as my name appears on docket, in the court below, this is proof of my status as a party, the court below having neither denied my motion nor given any reason to deny intervention as of right. *City of Chicago v. John Hancock Mutual Life Ins. Co., 127 Ill.App.3d 140, 144 (1st Dist. 1984)*. I satisfy all 3 requirements, giving me a right to intervene under 735 ILCS 5/2-408(a)(3). Also, as I'm a food stamp recipient, I qualify for a few waiver in this court & the court below.

7.(B) State the facts of the case, which bring it within this rule or other law; and, the date that the order being appealed was entered:

The order being appealed was on December 07, 2017. I have 30 days AFTER the entry of the order, per Rule 303(a)(1). Also, 5 ILCS 70/1.11 confirms that “The time within which any act provided by law is to be done shall be computed by excluding the first day...” and also does not count weekends, meaning that the 30th day, which was January 06, 2018, and fell on a Saturday, rolls over to today, Monday, 08 January 2018, making my appeal timely.

7.(C) State any other facts which are necessary to demonstrate that the appeal is timely:

Rule 373 allows the time-stamp of the post office or a 3rd-party carrier, such as UPS or FedEx, to count as the time of filing.

8. Nature of the Case:

<i>Administrative Review</i>	<u>Contract</u>	<i>Estates</i>	<i>Personal Injury</i>
<i>Juvenile</i>	<i>Domestic Relations</i>		<i>Child Custody or Support</i>
<i>Product Liability</i>	<u>Forcible Entry Detainer (FED)</u>		<u>Tort</u>
<u>Mortgage Fraud / Foreclosure</u>			

9. Briefly describe the nature of the case, and the result in the trial court, and set forth any reasons for an expedited schedule:

First off, my *Amicus Curiae* briefs, as you vividly recall, DOCUMENTED beyond any reasonable doubt, that there was indeed a photocopy forgery, a felony forgery fraud, and this, combined with lack of consideration (payment), especially in light of the fact that known mortgage fraud artist, Paul Shelton aided Younes, gave damning proof of mortgage fraud.

Before I go any further, let me remind you that the victim is elderly, and this, alone, is reasons enough for an expedited schedule, phone conferencing for myself (as I'm in Florida), and a summary Judgment, speedily rendering justice.

However, since I last filed in your court, I discovered the most unusual thing in all of mankind's history: Judge Michael F. Otto, the judge who forcibly stripped Daniggelis' house from him, entered an order dated March 08, 2013, in which he basically admitted fraud, and made my case stronger. Direct link for convenience (but check with trial court to verify)

<http://GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/3-8-2013-MOTION-DENIED.pdf>

or:

<http://GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/3-8-2013-MOTION-DENIED.pdf>

1. Judge Otto admits (Order, p.4) that the July 9, 2006 warranty deed "is in most respects identical" to the May 9, 2006 warranty deed that Daniggelis signed (except, of course, for the word 'July' being hand-written in), which supports Daniggelis claims that there was a photocopy forgery of his signature (which would void the entire illegal transfer of title).

2. Judge Otto (Order, p.3) acknowledges (admits) that 'Exhibit L' existed, a side-agreement to limit the title transfer only for the purpose of paying the "mortgage arrearage." Judge Otto claims that this document was not properly signed, but apparently, Otto did not see the exhibits filed in Daniggelis' July 30, 2008 answer (see pages 38 and 40 of the 96-page PDF file of a public records request at this link, provided by my personal repository and online docket: <http://GordonWayneWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/07ch29738-07242015.pdf> or <http://GordonWatts.com/MortgageFraudCourtDocs/07ch29738-07242015.pdf> where both Shelton and Rhone sign on to such statements, and Daniggelis also signs them: These contracts

place limits on both the time and purpose of the POA). So, this conclusively proves the POA to be fraudulently used. If the reader of this document can not access my website, please see contact the trial court for official records here.

3. There is no material disagreement with the assertion that Richard Daniggelis never got paid, which is a key proof of fraud that is being alleged by multiple parties. (Daniggelis would not simply give away the farm, for free. Moreover, even had he done so, Watts' case law shows that a sale is void ab initio if it lacks consideration.) My filings have repeatedly accused the other parties of failing to pay Daniggelis any consideration, and no one has contested this claim. Per 735 ILCS 5/15-1506(a), that which the other parties to this case don't deny is admitted, and, as such, it is plain that Daniggelis did not get paid for his house, which is documented to have had hundreds of thousands of dollars equity, and which equity (and house and land) were taken without any consideration (payment), thus voiding any purported sale.

4. On page 7 of Judge Otto's ORDER, he claims that the 'difficulty' for Daniggelis is that, even assuming the signature to be altered (forged by photocopy), Otto claims that Daniggelis “provides no factual or legal basis support for his assertion that, assuming the signature to have been altered, the Bank therefore “knew or should have known that the deed ... was no longer valid when the closing occurred.” **This argument by Judge Otto is totally ridiculous:**

Let's say, for example, that a group of thieves steal Daniggelis' **vehicle**, and then sell it on the Black Market to a Bank (or take a loan out on it, using as collateral for a mortgage). When the police finally catch the thieves, do you really think, for one second, that the Bank will be allowed to keep the hot (stolen) property, simply because they did not have “notice” that the property was stolen? Certainly not, and may God forbid! **If Otto's logic seems crazy when we use a stolen vehicle, then it's just as crazy with the stolen house.** Otto's claim that the bank needed notice is ridiculous on its face, and invites the federal courts to investigate him for civil rights violations, under the color of law. (However, the bank certainly did get notice, not only by Daniggelis recording a statement of forgery in the recorder's office, but the Bank was also notified of this fraud by voluminous and lengthy litigation which ensued. Because this court has continued to ignore Joseph Younes' clear fraud, he has been allowed to gut, damage, and destroy Daniggelis' house, as more clearly explicated in *City of Chicago v. 1720 N. Sedgwick, Joseph Younes, et. al.*, case number 2017-M1-400775, in the Civil Division, a case, overseen by Judge Patrice Ball-Reed, and which case has been featured numerous times in *DNAinfo*, my blog, “The Register,” and more recently, *ChicagoCityScape*: <https://blog.chicagocityscape.com/landmarks-commission-still-threatening-fines-if-house-inhistoric-district-isnt-worked-on-once-390f052a2ab2>

10 . Briefly state the general issues proposed to be raised (failure to include an issue in this statement will not result in the waiver of the issue on appeal):

1. Whether it is finally clear that Daniggelis is the victim of mortgage fraud, which even Judge Otto's March 08, 2013 Order admits:

A. Issue of fact as raised regarding Ottos false claims about the documents weren't signed, even tho the record says otherwise. **The standard of review** here is “clear error” or “plain error,” depending on your verbiage.

B. Issues of law (which are reviewed *de novo*, as this court has just as good a grasp on the law as the trial courts), regarding forgery and fraud. Here, the courts below – again – made “clear error” or “plain error,” depending on your verbiage.

2. Whether this court will refuse to hear the merits of this case and deny Daniggelis Due Process because of ineffective counsel. This may not be illegal, but it certainly runs afoul of the other three major standards:

A. Unconstitutionally denying Daniggelis his Federal Due Process rights to have a fair day in court—as further codified in Rule 10-100(a) (Illinois Supreme Court Commission on Access to Justice), which states: “The Illinois Supreme Court Commission on Access to Justice is established to promote, facilitate, and enhance equal access to justice with an emphasis on access to the Illinois civil courts and administrative agencies for all people, particularly the poor and vulnerable,”

B. Immoral, as his house was stolen, and he wasn't paid a dime, and was made homeless, sleeping in his rental van, there for a spell, and stressed out, as he is elderly. Do morals matter anymore?

C. Impractical: While this court was not bound by law to accept my amicus curiae briefs, it only delayed the inevitable to refuse to review them with scrutiny: This caused additional delays and headaches for both the litigants (victims) and the court.

Certification of Appellant

As attorney for the appellant -X- **Pro Se appellant**, I hereby certify that on the **8th** day of **January**, 2018, I asked / made a written request to the clerk of the circuit court to prepare the record on appeal, and on NO day of **any month**, 2018, did I make a written request to the court reporting personnel to prepare the transcript(s).

Date Appellant's Attorney Pro Se Appellant

In lieu of court reporting personnel's signature I have attached the written request to the court reporting personnel to prepare any "Reports of Proceedings prepared in accordance with Illinois Supreme Court Rule 323" – by checking the appropriate box in my "Request for Preparation of Record on Appeal."

Date Appellant's Attorney Pro Se Appellant

I hereby acknowledge receipt of an order for the preparation of a report of the proceedings.

Date Court Reporter or Supervisor

SERVICE LIST

* **1st District Appellate Court**, Clerk's Office, 160 North LaSalle St., Chicago, IL 60601
(312) 793-5484 , Office Hours: 8:30a.m.-4:30p.m., Mon-Fri, Excl. Holidays

* **CIVIL APPEALS DIVISION:** Richard J. Daley Center, 50 West Washington St., Room 801
Chicago, IL 60602 – (312) 603-5406, Hours: 8:30a.m.-4:30p.m., Mon-Fri, Excl. Holidays

***Hon. Timothy C. Evans**, Chief Judge (Ph 312-603-6000, 4299, 4259 TTY: 6673) Circuit Court
of Cook County, 50 W. Washington St., Room 2600, Richard J. Daley Center Chicago, IL 60602

* **Hon. James P. Flannery, Jr.**, Cir. Judge–Presiding Judge, Law Division [re: “Application for
Waiver of Court Fees”] 50 W. Washington St., Room 2005, Chicago, IL 60602, Ph:312-603-6343

* **Law Division and Hon. Diane M. Shelley, Circuit Judge, served by email / electronic
service only, as a courtesy, since this is an appeal: Law@CookCountyCourt.com ;
ccc.LawCalendarW@CookcountyIL.gov ; Diane.Shelley@CookCountyIL.gov**

* **Richard B. Daniggelis** [true owner of 1720] 312-774-4742, c/o John Daniggelis 773-327-7198
2150 North Lincoln Park West, Apartment #603, Chicago, IL 60614-4652

* **Unknown Owners/NonRecord Claimants** 1720 North Sedgwick St., Chicago, IL 60614-5722

* **Andjelko Galic** (Atty#:33013) Cell:312-217-5433, Fax:312-986-1810, Phone:312-986-1510
845 Sherwood Road, LaGrange Park, IL 60526-1547 (**Please take note of Mr. Galic's new
address**) Email: AndjelkoGalic@Hotmail.com ; AGForeclosureDefense@Gmail.com

* **Robert J. More** (Anselm45@Gmail.com) [Note: **More's** name is **misspelled** on docket as:
“**MOORE ROBERT**”] P.O. Box 6926, Chicago, IL, 60680-6926, PH: (708) 317-8812

* **COHON RAIZES®AL LLP (90192) (Atty for STEWART TITLE ILLINOIS)**
Attn: Carrie A. Dolan, 208 S LASALLE#1860, CHICAGO IL, 60604 [ph:(312) 726-2252]

* **MERS** (Mortgage Electronic Registration Systems, Inc.) <https://www.mersinc.org/about-us/about-us> a nominee for HLB Mortgage; Janis Smith, 703-738-0230, VP, Corp. Comm. is no
longer with MersCorp, and Amy Moses (AmyM@MersCorp.com) has replaced her as an email
contact; Sandra Troutman 703-761-1274, E: SandraT@mersinc.org Dir, Corporate
Communications, 1595 Springhill road, Suite 310, Vienna, VA 22182
(703) 761-0694 / (800)-646-6377

SERVICE LIST (continued from above)

- * **Stewart Title, Attn: Leigh Curry**
<http://www.Stewart.com/en/stc/chicago/contact-us/contact-us.html>
2055 W. Army Trail Rd., STE 110, Addison, IL 60101 [ph:(630) 889-4050]
- * **Associated Bank, N.A.**, 200 North Adam Street, Green Bay, WI 54301-5142
- * **Richard Indyke, Esq.** Atty. No. 20584, (312-332-2828 Atty for LaSalle Bank Natl. Assn.),
Email: RIndyke@SBCGlobal.net ; 221 N. LaSalle St. STE 1200, Chicago, IL 60601-1305
- * **Peter King (Atty. for Joseph Younes)** (Atty. No.: 48761)
(312) 780-7302 / (312) 724-8218 / Direct: (312) 724-8221
<http://www.KingHolloway.com/contact.htm> ; Attn: Peter M. King, Esq. PKing@khl-law.com
or: PKing@KingHolloway.com ; One North LaSalle Street, Suite 3040, Chicago, IL 60602
- * **John P. LaRocque**, 2 Orchard Place, Hinsdale, IL 60521 [Last known address]
- * **Paul L. Shelton**, (630) 993-9999, (630) 333-4009, (630) 286-5100, / Direct: (630) 842-0126
(Atty. #15323, disbarred per IARDC) E: PMSA136@Gmail.com ; PLShelton@SBCGlobal.net
<http://www.il-reab.com/agents/26812-paul-l-shelton-shelton-associates-hinsdale-il-60523>
c/o: Shelton Law Group, LLC, <https://www.youtube.com/user/PaulSheltonLawGroup>
1010 Jorie Blvd. #144, Oak Brook, IL 60523
- * **Paul L. Shelton, Pro Se**, 3 Grant Square, SUITE #363, Hinsdale, IL 60521-3351
- * **Paul L. Shelton** [PH: 630-986-5555], 10 North Adams Street, Hinsdale, IL 60521
- * **Erika R. Rhone** 9948 South Normal, Chicago, IL 60628-1229
- * **Erika R. Rhone** [ph:(773) 788-3711], 22711 Southbrook Dr., Sauk Village, IL 60411-4291
- * **Joe Younes**: 2625 West Farewell Avenue, Chicago, IL 60645-4522 JoeYounes@SbcGlobal.net
- * **Joseph Younes** (Atty#:55351) Law Offices / <http://ChicagoAccidentAttorney.net>
312-635-5716, per website 166 West WASHINGTON ST, Ste. 600, Chicago, IL 60602-3596
Phone: 312-372-1122 ; 312-802-1122 ; Fax: 312-372-1408. Email: RoJoe69@yahoo.com

In the Appellate Court of Illinois, First District

Docket Number: _____

GMAC Mortgage, LLC n/k/a: Bank of America, N.A., aka: "LaSalle Bank National Association," aka: "US Bank, NA," as trustee for Morgan Stanley Loan Trust 2006-16AX, Plaintiff, vs. Richard B. Daniggelis, Gordon Wayne Watts, Jospheh Younes, Paul L. Shelton, Erika R. Rhone, LEGATEES, NON-RECORD CLAIMANTS, UNKNOWN HEIRS UNKNOWN OWNERS, et. al., <u>Defendants.</u>) Appeal from the Circuit Court of Cook County, Illinois, County Department, Law Division))) Circuit Court Case No.: 2007-CH-29738) (Transfer into Law Division from Chancery)) Trial Judge: Hon. Diane M. Shelley (#1925)) Date of Notice of Appeal: Monday, 08 January 2018) Date of Judgment: December 07, 2017) Date of Post-judgment Motion: None) Order: #5) Supreme Court Rule which confers jurisdiction upon the reviewing court:) Ill.Sup.Ct. R.301, 303
-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------	----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

CERTIFICATE AND AFFIDAVIT OF DELIVERY (aka: Certificate of Service)

* The undersigned **Defendant-Appellant, Gordon Wayne Watts**, hereby certifies under penalties of perjury as provided by law pursuant to 735 ILCS 5/1-109, that the above **Docketing Statement and Notice of Appearance of Counsel for Appellant, Notice of Filing, an Updated and Corrected "Service List"** of parties, my **Request for Preparation of the Record on Appeal**, as an attached **Exhibit "B,"** copies of which are attached hereto and herewith served upon you—and upon the parties listed in the attached Service List, above – this Monday, 08 January 2018, via the Cook County, IL Electronic Filing system if they're e-file registered, and if I can access it for this Notice of Appeal

* I am concurrently serving all parties via First Class U.S. Postal Mail and/or FedEx 3rd-party commercial carrier (whichever proves more convenient).

* Additionally, I shall, when practically possible, post a TRUE COPY of this filing –and related filings –online at my official websites, supra –linked at the "Mortgage Fraud" story, dated Fri. 14 April 2017.

* Lastly, I may, later, cc all parties via e-mail, if I am able. ***Respectfully submitted,***

_____ (Actual Signature, if served upon clerk) Gordon Wayne Watts	<u>/s/ Gordon Wayne Watts</u> (Electronic Signature) Gordon Wayne Watts
--------------------------------------------------------------------------------	--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

Gordon Wayne Watts, *pro se* [Code: '99500' = Non-Lawer, *pro se*]
821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113
PH: (863) 688-9880 [home] or (863) 409-2109 [cell]
Web: <http://www.GordonWatts.com> / <http://www.GordonWayneWatts.com>
Email: Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@gmail.com

Request for Preparation of Record on Appeal

EXHIBIT B

(Rev. 8/28/08) CCA 0025

APPEAL TO THE APPELLATE COURT OF ILLINOIS FROM THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS COUNTY DEPARTMENT, LAW DIVISION, First Municipal District, Calendar "W"

GMAC, et. al., Plaintiffs / Appellees
Daniggelis, Watts,
Younes, et. al., Defendants / Appellants

Reviewing Court No.
Circuit Court No. 2007 - CH - 29738
Honorable Diane M. Shelley, Circuit Judge
Trial Judge
Date Notice of Appeal Filed Monday, 08 January 2018

REQUEST FOR PREPARATION OF RECORD ON APPEAL

Attorney (or Party if no attorney):

Name: Mr. Gordon Wayne Watts Cook County Attorney Code No. 99500 or Pro Se 99500
Address: 821 Alicia Road, City: Lakeland State: Florida Zip: 33801-2113
Telephone Number: H: 863-688-9880 / C: 863-409-2109

Attorney for: Pro Se E-mail Address (optional) Gww1210@aol.com / Gww1210@Gmail.com
GordonWatts.com GordonWayneWatts.com

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN to the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County that Mr. Gordon Wayne Watts requests the preparation of the Record on Appeal in the above case.

DESIGNATION OF RECORD

The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County shall prepare the Record on Appeal in accordance with Illinois Supreme Court Rule 321. The record on Appeal shall include the common law record, which consists of trial documents filed and judgments and orders entered by the trial court and:

- All documentary exhibits entered at trial, except for those other exhibits that cannot ordinarily be included for review and are subject to motion.
Reports of Proceedings prepared in accordance with Illinois Supreme Court Rule 323.
Certificate in Lieu of Record on Appeal pursuant to Illinois Supreme Court Rule 325.
Documents filed under seal on the following dates and unsealed:
A copy of the trial court Order authorizing these documents to be unsealed for the purpose of inclusion in the Record on Appeal is attached hereto or will be provided by the Appellant to the Civil Appeals Division at least 30 days in advance of the date on which the Record on Appeal is scheduled to be transmitted to the Appellate Court. Upon return of the Record on Appeal to the Circuit Court, it is the responsibility of the parties to obtain an Order resealing these records, if the records are to be resealed.
Documents filed under seal on the following dates, which are to remain sealed:
Please note that, pursuant to Rule 17 of Appellate Court of Illinois, "No record, exhibit, or brief may be filed under seal in the Appellate Court, unless Appellate Court has first given leave for filing under seal, notwithstanding that the material was filed under seal in the Circuit Court."

FEEES

Payment may be made by Cash, Check or Money Order. Cash payments accepted for in-person payments only. Checks or money order should be made to Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County. Pursuant to 705 ILCS 105/27.2a(k) and 27.2(k), the Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County must charge fees for Records on Appeal in advance as follows:

100 pages or less, \$110
100 - 200 pages, \$185
Each page in excess of 200, \$.30/page
Reduced fee for Local Governments and School Districts, \$50

All prescribed fees are due in advance of transmission of the Record on Appeal. It is understood and agreed that once a request for preparation of a Record on Appeal is made by submission of this form, the Appellant is responsible for the costs of preparing the Record on Appeal, regardless of whether the Appeal is successful, dismissed, the time is extended, or a party elects to not transmit the Record on Appeal to the Appellate Court. The Clerk of the Circuit Court of Cook County reserves the right to pursue a claim to recover the costs and expenses, including reasonable attorneys' fees, related to preparation of the Record on Appeal.

Mr. Gordon Wayne Watts
(Type or print name)

(Signature of Appellant or Appellant's Attorney)

DOROTHY BROWN, CLERK OF THE CIRCUIT COURT OF COOK COUNTY, ILLINOIS

MONDAY, 08 January 2018