



- Excellence
- Community
- Dignity
- Integrity

# Lakeland Police Department

Roger Boatner  
Chief of Police

State Atty Gen  
→ Kathy Perry Oregon

Get traffic ticket service?  
Jan 17 Jan '06  
January 11, 2006  
Kindly Han

Ambiguous letter

Gordon Watts  
821 Alicia Road  
Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

Re: Lakeland Police Department Complaint Registration form executed January 9, 2006

Dear Mr. Watts:

This includes internal affairs - were not used

OK - so far, I'll take your word here.

I have reviewed your, above-referenced complaint registration form as well as the materials you have submitted along with that form. I have discussed the matter with the appropriate members of the City of Lakeland Police Department.

Who? Does the Police Chief not review such complaints?

The City of Lakeland Police Department has limited resources, the discretion for use of which lies primarily with the Chief of Police. I am more than satisfied, in the relevant matter, the City of Lakeland Police Department has utilized those resources both wisely and to the full extent of applicable law and policy. There exists a woefully inadequate basis under which I would advise our agency should proceed to further investigate your complaint. All related matters over which our agency had jurisdiction are now closed both by our agency and the actions taken by the court.

That

Which? At least one case is open. Did you not know?

Did he? Internal says he did.

I note you have identified those agencies in your complaint registration form to whom you may present your tired allegations of wrongdoing. Should you maintain there has been a wrong committed for which there is a legally-recognized remedy I am hereby notifying you the remedy cannot properly be sought by your future communication with the City of Lakeland or the City of Lakeland Police Department regarding this matter. Should you be unable to resist further communications to our agency in this regard I will consider those communications in knowing violation of this notice.

Could you elaborate? I feel that this was not followed - nor policy.

Was this a form letter?

Sincerely,

Roger A. Mallory  
General Counsel

With all the unbalanced/sustained/exhaustive-type findings of our officer, is intend affairs merely rubber-stamping "Yes Men"?

Meaning what?  
"Allegations aren't human + can't be 'tired'"  
"Tired" means old. I responded almost as fast as I got proof of wrongdoing.

Are officers infallible "Robocops"?

Does that include clarification of your very ambiguous letter here?