

In a message dated 3/8/2016 8:26:03 A.M. Eastern Standard Time, MAILER-DAEMON@AOL.com writes:

--AOL Postmaster

----- The delivery status notification errors -----

<JAtkins@scus.gov>: connect to mail.scus.gov[64.240.188.30]:25: Connection timed out

<SSHarris@scus.gov>: connect to mail.scus.gov[64.240.188.30]:25: Connection timed out

<SHarris@scus.gov>: connect to mail.scus.gov[64.240.188.30]:25: Connection timed out

In a message dated 3/8/2016 9:58:45 A.M. Eastern Standard Time, postmaster@supremecourt.gov writes:

Delivery has failed to these recipients or groups:

SSHarris@SupremeCourt.gov

The e-mail address you entered couldn't be found. Please check the recipient's e-mail address and try to resend the message. If the problem continues, please contact your helpdesk.

Subject: Tetzlaff v. ECMC, No. 15-485 (US Sup. Ct.): Response to Court's 2-22-2016 ruling

Date: 3/8/2016 7:52:37 A.M. Eastern Standard Time

From: Gww1210@aol.com

To: JAtkins@SupremeCourt.gov, JAtkins@scus.gov, Gww1210@aol.com

CC: SHarris@SupremeCourt.gov, SSHarris@SupremeCourt.gov, SHarris@scus.gov, SSHarris@scus.gov, gww1210@gmail.com

Hon. Jeff Atkins, Deputy Clerk for case initiation (202-479-3263)

Cc: Hon. Scott S. Harris, Clerk (202-479-3011)

c/o: Supreme Court of the United States

1 First Street, N.E., Washington, DC 20543

Jeff, thank you for speaking with me once a while back on the phone.

I neglected to include you in my cc list when e-filing - not a requirement at the cert stage (and not required at all of us lowly pro se mortals), but, since the clerk assigned to my case misread the case law regarding intervention (when permissible), here are all the filings in that case:

- 1) The Intervention (which gives me 'party' status, allowing me to request rehearing)
- 2) Notice (Correction) regarding 2 scrivener's errors and corrected certificate of service
- 3) My "In Forma Pauperis" paperwork (why you all don't require receipts is beyond me, but if you trust me, then I also trust myself! -- Trust me: If I could afford to file regularly, I would, as it makes the court's job easier to have 40 briefs, not just 10, making the justices' clerks' jobs easier)...
- 4) The "Rule 21 reconsideration" motion which shows that the clerk assigned to my case misread the relevant case law.

Additionally, I am including the tracking information, showing that W.LEE signed for my filing, and it should be headed your way shortly.

Normally, I would not bother to include you all in my service list, but as there was one screw-up already, I am hedging my bets, and keeping you all senior clerks "in the loop" -- to be "on the safe side." (Had your clerk read the case law right, I would not have had to spend loads of money to refile this, but the case-law I found, in my response, was worth its weight in gold.)

If, however, you disagree with my reading of the Intervention case law, please let me know why; otherwise, please file my intervention. (Funny Obiter Dictim: Even though the court did not *grant* intervention for Chris Sevier, the "guy who wanted to marry his computer," remember him?... nonetheless, you all at least let him seek Intervention in Obergefell, et al, the gay marriage cases. - If you all filed nutty paperwork - clearly sarcastic, and not serious - then surely you all will brook my papers- and let the court review my Intervention as a matter of right.)

Thank you,

Gordon Wayne Watts

-----Original Message-----

From: Gww1210@aol.com

Date: Mon, 7 Mar 2016 04:57:56 -0500

Subject: **Tetzlaff v. ECMC, No. 15-485 (US Sup. Ct.): Response to Court's 2-22-2016 ruling**

To: MeritsBriefs@SupremeCourt.gov, Douglas.Hallward-Driemeier@RopesGray.com, neness@ecmc.org, DMcNerney@SupremeCourt.gov, EFossum@SupremeCourt.gov, gww1210@aol.com

CC: James.Wilton@ropesgray.com, gww1210@gmail.com

MeritsBriefs@SupremeCourt.gov, Douglas.Hallward-Driemeier@RopesGray.com, neness@ecmc.org, DMcNerney@SupremeCourt.gov, EFossum@SupremeCourt.gov, gww1210@aol.com

James.Wilton@ropesgray.com, gww1210@gmail.com, (Ross.Martin@ropesgray.com, Jonathan.Ference-Burke@ropesgray.com, John.Dey@ropesgray.com, Martha.Martir@ropesgray.com, Gww12102002@yahoo.com, GordonWayneWatts@aol.com, gordonwaynewatts@hotmail.com, jim@krautkramerbloc.com, steve@GetOutOfDebt.org, DColton@usatoday.com, DColton@gannett.com, KBorkoski@scotusblog.com, AppellateBlog@hotmail.com, AMHowe@scotusblog.com, LDenniston@scotusblog.com, PWilliams@scotusblog.com, HJB@hjbashman.com, Tips@abovethelaw.com, Ahamm@scotusblog.com, Tgoldstein@scotusblog.com, TsvKwan@gmail.com, mrj209@uw.edu, KedarBhatia@gmail.com, demark26@gmail.com, fabri@governingworks.org, swermiel@wcl.american.edu, courtartist@gmail.com, Tsingh@goldsteinrussell.com, Jewood@velaw.com, Rmann@law.columbia.edu, krjohnson@ucdavis.edu, Krussell@scotusblog.com, William.Baude@gmail.com, rklc@uw.edu, expertanalysis@law360.com, blogger@nakedcapitalism.com, William@crokeandcroke.com, rew@weltmosk.com, scoop@huffingtonpost.com, jberman@marketwatch.com, sachimalbe@excite.com, justice@studentloanjustice.org, alan_collinge@msn.com, applebaum@forgivestudentloandebt.com, alan_collinge@gmail.com, alan_collinge@yahoo.com, alan_collinge@hotmail.com, alan_collinge@studentloanjustice.org, mark.kantrowitz@monster.com, mark@kantrowitz.com, alancollingeslj@gmail.com, jennifery@thehill.com, opinion@thehill.com, EIRushbo@eibnet.com, roundup@scotusblog.com, andrew@goldsteinrussell.com, erin.miller@yale.edu, aschlossman@scotusblog.com, john.ehrett@yale.edu, feedback@scotusblog.com, rrothstein@epi.org, inquiry1@bloomberg.net, release@bloomberg.net, kitroeff@gmail.com, mcarter@credible.com, support@credible.com, sdash@credible.com, cbowman@credible.com, ariha@credible.com, ecruz@credible.com, domain-adm@corp.aol.com, steve.rhode@myvestafoundation.org, jberman@marketwatch.com, editors@interactive.wsj.com, njletter@newsjournal.com, DomainAdmin@dowjones.com, TSewell@legalmatch.com, aelonnda@legalmatch.com, Taelonnda.Sewell@legalmatch.com, DMitry@legalmatch.com, Neil.Fradkin@legalmatch.com, bdavis15@neb.rr.com, byrondavis@windstream.net, secretgarden260@msn.com)

<njletter@newsjournal.com>: host immta.bellatlantic.net[206.46.232.24] said:
550 4.2.1 mailbox temporarily disabled: njletter@newsjournal.com (in reply
to RCPT TO command)

<maha@smschaef.com> (expanded from <EIRushbo@eibnet.com>): message size 5404475
exceeds size limit 5242880 of server mail.smschaef.com[12.152.77.20]

The following message to <editors@interactive.wsj.com> was undeliverable.

The reason for the problem:

5.4.7 - Delivery expired (message too old) 'timeout'

Hon. Erik Fossum, Associate Clerk (202-479-3392)
c/o: Supreme Court of the United States (202-479-3011)
1 First Street, N.E.
Washington, DC 20543

Thank you for processing my paperwork so far. **Pursuant to our phone conversation, I am submitting this response to The Court's 02-22-2016 ruling**, which I filed this Friday in this 'College Loan Bankruptcy' case, *Tetzlaff v. ECMC*, No. 15-485.

Please find enclosed PDF files of both this filing, as well as printing and "proof of delivery" to a 3rd-party Commercial Carrier in a timely fashion. *It is scheduled to arrive by 10:30am Eastern Time this morning.*

My apologies, once again, for the slight delay in service by email, but the Sabbath came upon me, and for religious (and health) reasons, I was delayed. (Besides, e-service is not required for cert filings, only merits briefs - and, moreover, *pro se* filers are not required to e-file *at all* -but I'm including this e-service as a courtesy, **for the convenience of The Court and Counsel.**)

Again, a TRUE COPY of my brief (and all filings in this case) may be downloaded as from the front-page news of The Register, my namesake blogs, as listed below: www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com or, if you prefer a direct link:

Mirror 1: www.GordonWatts.com/FannyDeregulation/Tetzlaff-case/DOCKET-15-485_Tetzlaff-v-ECMC.html

Mirror 2: www.GordonWayneWatts.com/FannyDeregulation/Tetzlaff-case/DOCKET-15-485_Tetzlaff-v-ECMC.html

Sincerely,

Gordon Wayne Watts

In a message dated 2/9/2016 5:07:54 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, Gww1210@aol.com writes:

| Counsel and Court:

Please find enclosed a Supplemental Certificate of Service with judicial notice of 2 Scrivener's Errors. It is a 3-page PDF file and is enclosed.

I filed this yesterday evening in *Tetzlaff v ECMC*, No15-485, in which Mr. Tetzlaff challenges his inability to discharge his Student Loan in bankruptcy.

My apologies for the delay in e-service, but it took me until now to code these filings for e-service and publication online, whereby you may download a true copy of the filings. (On another note, I think The Court should require *all* *pro se* litigants to effect e-service, as I am here, but that is your call, not mine.)

I accidentally used Counselor Hallward-Driemeier's old mailing address when effecting service this past Friday. My apologies; I corrected it as soon as I saw, and as The Court does not come back from vacation until 02-22-2016, I trust this will not inconvenience or prejudice anyone. My initial Friday filing, yesterday's erratum, and documentation of service for all items are enclosed.

Best regards,

Gordon W. Watts

In a message dated 2/9/2016 4:41:38 P.M. Eastern Standard Time, Gww1210@aol.com writes:

| -----Original Message-----

From: Gww1210@aol.com <Gordon Wayne Watts>
Date: Sat, 6 Feb 2016 00:18:47 -0500
Subject: Tetzlaff v ECMC, No15-485 (Intervention): motion for rehearing filed
To: MeritsBriefs@SupremeCourt.gov, Douglas.Hallward-Driemeier@RopesGray.com,
neness@ecmc.org, DMcNerney@SupremeCourt.gov, EFossum@SupremeCourt.gov,
gww1210@aol.com
CC: James.Wilton@ropesgray.com, gww1210@aol.com, etc.

Counsel and Court:

I have filed a timely motion for rehearing in the above-captioned case, under the authority of intervention as of right. It is attached as "15-485_MotionForRehearing-Intervention-GordonWayneWatts_AS-FILED.pdf," as well as served by hard copy, as indicated.

Additionally, a TRUE COPY of my brief may be downloaded as from the front-page news of my namesake blogs, as listed below: www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

Although this email might get to you slightly after midnight, please note the proof of delivery images I am including, to verify that my filing is a timely petition for rehearing, should I be permitted to intervene as a matter of right.

I hope you have a great weekend!

Best,

Gordon Wayne Watts, editor-in-chief, The Register

www.GordonWayneWatts.com / www.GordonWatts.com

BS, The Florida State University, Biological & Chemical Sciences;
Class of 2000, double major with honours

AS, United Electronics Institute, Class of 1988, Valedictorian

821 Alicia Road, Lakeland, FL 33801-2113

Home:(863)688-9880 Work: (863)686-3411 Voice&FAX:(863)687-6141 Cell:(863)409-2109

See also: http://Gordon_Watts.Tripod.com/consumer.html

Gww1210@aol.com ; Gww12102002@Yahoo.com

Truth is the strongest, most stable force in the Universe

Truth doesn't change because you disbelieve it

TRUTH doesn't bend to the will of tyrants<http://GordonWayneWatts.com> / <http://GordonWatts.com>

Get Truth

"First, they [Nazis] came for the Jews. I was silent. I was not a Jew. Then they came for the Communists. I was silent. I was not a Communist. Then they came for the trade unionists. I was silent. I was not a trade unionist. Then they came for me. There was no one left to speak for me."(Martin Niemöller, given credit for a quotation in The Harper Religious and Inspirational Quotation Companion, ed. Margaret Pepper(New York: Harper &Row, 1989), 429 -as cited on page 44, note 17,of Religious Cleansing in the American Republic, by Keith A. Fornier,Copyright 1993, by Liberty, Life, and Family Publications.

Some versions have Mr. Niemöller saying: "Then they came for the Catholics, and I didn't speak up, because I was a Protestant"; other versions have him saying that they came for Socialists, Industrialists, schools, the press,and/or the Church; however, it's certain he DID say SOMETHING like this. Actually, they may not have come for the Jews first, as it's more likely they came for the prisoners, mentally handicapped, &other so-called "inferiors" first -as historians tell us-so they could get "practiced up"; however, they did come for them -due to the silence of their neighbors -and due in part to their own silence. So: *"Speak up now or forever hold your peace!"-GWW*